

# **Technical Annex**

This document provides background information, supplementary materials, further analyses and robustness checks for the report:

## **'Migrants as Messengers': The Impact of Peer-to-Peer Communication on Potential Migrants in Senegal**

### **IMPACT EVALUATION REPORT**

Felipe Dunsch & Jasper Tjaden

September 2019



Access the main report at <https://publications.iom.int/>

## Table of Contents

Table A1: Screening Schedule .....	1
Table A2: Summary Statistics and Balance of Respondents' Characteristics between Treatment and Control Group .....	2
Table A3: Analysis of selective participation in the MaM evaluation (i.e. 'no-shows') .....	1
Table A4: Analysis of Dropout three months after participation in the events ('attrition') .....	2
Table A5: Invite Questionnaire (original French version).....	3
Table A6: Exit Questionnaire (original French version).....	5
Table A7: Endline questionnaire (original French version) .....	6
Table A8: Description and operationalization of main outcome variables.....	10
Table A9: Description and operationalization of control variables.....	14
Table A10: Main Treatment Effects on Subjective Information Levels .....	15
Table A11: Interaction Effects - Self-Assessed Information Levels .....	16
Table A12: Main Treatment Effects on Knowledge about Migration .....	21
Table A13: Interaction Effects – Knowledge about Migration (unadjusted) .....	22
Table A14: Main Treatment Effects on Risk Perceptions (binary) .....	29
Table A15: Main Treatment Effects on Risk Perceptions (Likert) .....	30
Table A16: Main Treatment Effects on Index of Risk Perceptions (Robustness check).....	31
Table A17: Interaction Effects – Risk Perceptions.....	32
Table A18: Main Treatment Effects on the Perceived Chances to Arrive and Stay in the Destination Country .....	38
Table A19: Main Effects on Perception of Economic Opportunities in Senegal.....	39
Table A20: Main Effects on Perception of Returnees .....	40
Table A21: Main Treatment Effects on Intentions and Preparations to Migrate (Irregularly) .....	41
Table A22: Interaction Effects – Intentions and Preparations to Migrate (Irregularly) .....	42
Table A23: Sensitivity of main results to clustering .....	48
Table A24: Sources for Comparison of Potential Earnings at Destination .....	51
Table A25: Volunteer Field Officer – Interview Equipment.....	52
Table A26: Interview questions for returnee volunteers .....	53
Table A27: Power calculations .....	54

## Table A1: Screening Schedule

Screening	District	Date	Screening	Area	Date
1-T	Grand Yoff	10/15/2018	19-T	Dalifort	11/6/2018
2-C	Grand Yoff	10/15/2018	20-C	Dalifort	11/6/2018
3-C	Grand Yoff	10/16/2018	21-C	Thiaroye	11/9/2018
4-T	Grand Yoff	10/16/2018	22-T	Thiaroye	11/9/2018
5-T	Guédiawaye	10/22/2018	23-C	Thiaroye	11/10/2018
6-C	Guédiawaye	10/22/2018	24-T	Thiaroye	11/10/2018
7-C	Guédiawaye	10/23/2018	25-T	Patte d'oie	11/12/2018
8-T	Guédiawaye	10/23/2018	26-C	Patte d'oie	11/12/2018
9-T	Grand Dakar	10/30/2018	27-T	Patte d'oie	11/13/2018
10-C	Grand Dakar	10/30/2018	28-C	Patte d'oie	11/13/2018
11-C	Grand Dakar	10/31/2018	29-T	Pikine Est	11/17/2018
12-T	Grand Dakar	10/31/2018	30-C	Pikine Est	11/17/2018
13-T	Malika	11/2/2018	31-C	Pikine Est	11/18/2018
14-C	Malika	11/2/2018	32-T	Pikine Est	11/18/2018
15-C	Malika	11/3/2018	33-T	Grand Yoff	11/23/2018
16-T	Malika	11/3/2018	34-C	Grand Yoff	11/23/2018
17-C	Dalifort	11/5/2018	35-C	Grand Yoff	11/24/2018
18-T	Dalifort	11/5/2018	36-T	Grand Yoff	11/24/2018

Note: “T” designates the “treatment” events, and “C” the control group events.

**Table A2: Summary Statistics and Balance of Respondents' Characteristics between Treatment and Control Group**

	Control Group			Treatment Group			Total					T-Test	
	N	mean	sd	N	mean	sd	N	mean	sd	min	max	Diff in Means	t-stat
<i>Socio/Demographics</i>													
Age	453	24.54	4.93	472	25.08	5.72	925	24.81	5.35	15	47	-0.53	(-1.52)
Sex	453	0.13	0.33	472	0.11	0.32	925	0.12	0.33	0	1	0.02	(0.72)
Ethnic Group: Fulani/ Peulh / Pulaar/ Haalpulaar	453	0.23	0.42	472	0.21	0.41	925	0.22	0.41	0	1	0.02	(0.63)
Ethnic Group: Serer	453	0.16	0.37	472	0.13	0.34	925	0.15	0.35	0	1	0.03	(1.36)
Ethnic Group: Wolof	453	0.33	0.47	472	0.34	0.47	925	0.34	0.47	0	1	-0.01	(-0.27)
Ethnic Group: Other	453	0.27	0.45	472	0.31	0.46	925	0.29	0.46	0	1	-0.04	(-1.35)
Family status: Married or divorced (vs. Single)	453	0.17	0.37	472	0.19	0.39	925	0.18	0.38	0	1	-0.02	(-0.76)
Religion: Christian (vs. Muslim)	453	0.09	0.28	472	0.08	0.28	925	0.08	0.28	0	1	0.00	(0.18)
Household Size	453	9.57	6.22	472	9.43	5.78	925	9.50	6.00	1	40	0.14	(0.35)
Number of children	453	0.37	1.04	472	0.47	1.28	925	0.42	1.17	0	9	-0.10	(-1.25)
Number of siblings	453	5.68	3.27	472	5.58	3.19	925	5.63	3.23	0	39	0.10	(0.48)
Residence in Dakar: Less than 2 years	453	0.05	0.22	472	0.03	0.18	925	0.04	0.20	0	1	0.02	(1.44)
Residence in Dakar: between 2 and 5 years	453	0.09	0.29	472	0.06	0.24	925	0.07	0.26	0	1	0.03	(1.79)

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Residence in Dakar: more than 5 years ago	453	0.21	0.41	472	0.22	0.41	925	0.21	0.41	0	1	-0.01	(-0.25)
Residence in Dakar: All my life	453	0.65	0.48	472	0.69	0.46	925	0.67	0.47	0	1	-0.04	(-1.39)
Number of siblings living abroad	453	0.52	1.11	472	0.49	1.09	925	0.50	1.10	0	8	0.03	(0.41)
<i>Socio-Economics</i>													
Educational Attainment: Primary or less	453	0.22	0.41	472	0.24	0.43	925	0.23	0.42	0	1	-0.02	(-0.64)
Educational Attainment: Secondary	453	0.45	0.50	472	0.43	0.49	925	0.44	0.50	0	1	0.02	(0.62)
Educational Attainment: Tertiary	453	0.25	0.43	472	0.24	0.43	925	0.25	0.43	0	1	0.00	(0.17)
Educational Attainment: Arabic/Koran	453	0.09	0.28	472	0.09	0.29	925	0.09	0.29	0	1	-0.01	(-0.40)
Income: None	453	0.13	0.34	472	0.16	0.36	925	0.15	0.35	0	1	-0.02	(-0.79)
Income: 0-50 CFA	453	0.39	0.49	472	0.35	0.48	925	0.37	0.48	0	1	0.04	(0.97)
Income: 50-100 CFA	453	0.34	0.48	472	0.32	0.47	925	0.33	0.47	0	1	0.02	(0.61)
Income: More than 100 CFA	453	0.13	0.34	472	0.17	0.38	925	0.15	0.36	0	1	-0.04	(-1.35)
Money to cover monthly expenses: Never	453	0.19	0.40	472	0.22	0.41	925	0.21	0.40	0	1	-0.02	(-0.84)
Money to cover monthly expenses: Sometimes	453	0.45	0.50	472	0.46	0.50	925	0.45	0.50	0	1	-0.00	(-0.12)
Money to cover monthly expenses: Always	453	0.33	0.47	472	0.30	0.46	925	0.31	0.46	0	1	0.03	(0.97)

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Money to cover monthly expenses: dont know	453	0.03	0.16	472	0.03	0.17	925	0.03	0.17	0	I	-0.00	(-0.30)
Employment status: Other	453	0.03	0.17	472	0.03	0.16	925	0.03	0.17	0	I	0.00	(0.10)
Employment status: Permanent employment	453	0.13	0.34	472	0.11	0.31	925	0.12	0.33	0	I	0.03	(1.33)
Employment status: Contractual worker	453	0.07	0.26	472	0.10	0.30	925	0.09	0.28	0	I	-0.02	(-1.35)
Employment status: Daily worker	453	0.14	0.35	472	0.16	0.36	925	0.15	0.36	0	I	-0.02	(-0.67)
Employment status: unemployed	453	0.03	0.17	472	0.05	0.22	925	0.04	0.20	0	I	-0.02	(-1.74)
Employment status: Independent	453	0.22	0.41	472	0.25	0.43	925	0.23	0.42	0	I	-0.03	(-1.08)
Employment status: never worked	453	0.38	0.49	472	0.31	0.46	925	0.35	0.48	0	I	0.06*	(2.02)
Ability to save: No	453	0.48	0.50	472	0.43	0.50	925	0.46	0.50	0	I	0.05	(1.16)
Ability to save money: Yes	453	0.35	0.48	472	0.35	0.48	925	0.35	0.48	0	I	-0.01	(-0.13)
Ability to save money: Sometimes	453	0.17	0.37	472	0.21	0.41	925	0.19	0.39	0	I	-0.04	(-1.32)
<i>Migration Context</i>													
Number of contacts abroad	453	7.92	15.08	472	8.46	26.12	925	8.19	21.36	0	500	-0.54	(-0.36)
Recipient of remittances	453	0.30	0.46	472	0.32	0.47	925	0.31	0.46	0	I	-0.03	(-0.86)
Previous migration experience	453	0.07	0.25	472	0.04	0.20	925	0.05	0.23	0	I	0.02	(1.59)
<i>Outcomes variables measures at baseline (invite survey)</i>													
Baseline: Migration intentions	453	3.58	0.65	472	3.63	0.71	925	3.61	0.68	3	5	-0.05	(-1.14)

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Baseline: Aware of risks and opportunities	453	4.62	1.32	472	4.67	1.29	925	4.65	1.30	I	7	-0.05	(-0.62)
Baseline: Chances to arrive	453	4.76	1.07	472	4.76	1.12	925	4.76	1.10	I	7	0.00	(0.01)
Baseline: Chances to stay	453	4.48	1.07	472	4.61	1.12	925	4.55	1.10	I	7	-0.12	(-1.73)
Baseline: Risk to life	453	6.11	0.98	472	6.17	0.96	925	6.14	0.97	I	7	-0.06	(-0.89)
Baseline: Risk of violence	453	4.81	1.71	472	4.74	1.76	925	4.77	1.74	I	7	0.07	(0.60)
Baseline: Economic opportunities in Senegal	453	3.52	1.18	472	3.45	1.21	925	3.49	1.19	I	7	0.07	(0.86)
Baseline: Days of journey by land	453	27.34	38.64	472	27.68	48.48	925	27.52	43.96	I	730	-0.33	(-0.09)
Baseline: Days of journey by sea	453	14.77	16.42	472	11.92	9.87	925	13.27	13.45	I	180	2.85*	(2.41)
Baseline: Earnings in Europe (USD)	453	2647.31	7193.04	472	3091.30	5703.19	925	2885.33	6433.86	0.10	86370	-443.99	(-0.72)
Baseline: Costs of the journey (USD)	453	4622.28	6198.50	472	4276.04	4264.06	925	4440.48	5270.84	0.02	51822	346.24	(0.84)

Note: The value displayed for t-tests are the differences in the means between the treatment and control group. \*\*\*, \*\*, and \* indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent critical level. Most socio-economic variables were measured at Endline given that limited variation would be expected during the observation period.

## Table A3: Analysis of selective participation in the MaM evaluation (i.e. ‘no-shows’)

This table describes the differences between the group that participated in the movie screening events and the group that was invited but did not participate (i.e. no-shows).

<b>Variable</b>	<b>No-Shows</b>		<b>Study Participants</b>		<b>T-Test</b>
	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Difference in Means</b>
Assigned treatment status = treatment	7058	0.495	918	0.5	-0.016
Age	7070	23.7	925	24.5	-0.804***
Gender = female	7070	0.211	925	0.1	0.091***
Awareness of risks and opportunities of migration	7070	4.5	925	4.6	-0.194***
Chances to arrive at destination	7070	4.8	925	4.8	0.044
Chances to stay at destination	7070	4.6	925	4.6	0.068*
Risks to life for a migrant during journey	7070	6.1	925	6.2	-0.027
Probability to suffer from violence	7070	4.7	925	4.8	-0.037
Economic opportunities in Senegal	7070	3.5	925	3.5	0.021
Length of irregular journey - desert route (in days)	3661	25.8	520	27.5	-1.712
Length of irregular journey - sea route (in days)	3953	13.0	539	13.3	-0.258
Monthly Earning potential in Europe (in USD)	3332	2994.0	457	2885.3	108.705
Travel/journey costs in USD	4569	4966.6	678	4440.5	526.158*

Further analysis reveals that respondents that were initially invited to control group screenings had a 11.2% chance of showing up to the event compared to 11.8% among participants that were initially invited to MaM screening events.

## Table A4: Analysis of Dropout three months after participation in the events ('attrition')

This table describes the differences between the respondents that were reached during the endline survey and those that came to the screening events, but could not be reached for the endline survey three months later (the “attritors”).

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Reached endline</b>		<b>Attritors</b>		<b>Difference</b>
	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>in means</b>
Age	1084	24.381	226	22.619	1.762***
Gender = female	1084	0.129	226	0.190	-0.061**
Assigned treatment (treatment)	1092	0.506	225	0.564	-0.058
<i>Variables measured on a Likert Scale from 1 to 7 where 7 is the highest and 1 the lowest.</i>					
Awareness of risks and opportunities of migration	1084	4.618	226	4.531	0.087
Chances to arrive at destination	1084	4.756	226	4.814	-0.058
Chances to stay at destination	1084	4.541	226	4.633	-0.092
Risks to life for a migrant during journey	1084	6.134	226	6.146	-0.012
Probability to suffer from violence	1084	4.792	226	4.743	0.049
Economic opportunities in Senegal	1084	3.498	226	3.606	-0.108
Length of irregular journey - desert route (in days)	613	27.179	109	21.541	5.638
Length of irregular journey - sea route (in days)	634	13.344	121	12.041	1.303
Earning potential in Europe	535	6,370,000	104	1,300,000	5,070,000
Travel/journey costs in CFA	791	2,920,000	148	2,550,000	371,000

Note: The value displayed for t-tests are the differences in the means across the groups.

\*\*\*, \*\*, and \* indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent critical level.

Further analysis reveals that respondents that participated in control group screening had a 15% chance of dropping out from the study compared to 18.8% among participants in the MaM screening events.

## Table A5: Invite Questionnaire (original French version)

#	Questions
	Mon nom est ..... je travaille pour l'agence d'étude Marketing Dadch & Hanovia. Nous sommes en train de mener une campagne de sensibilisation et nous aimerais vous inviter à une projection d'un film documentaire sur le Senegal.  Vous êtes libre de participer et nous vous assurons que toutes les informations collectées sur ce projet seront strictement confidentielles et en aucun cas seront partagées à des tiers.  Afin de pouvoir mieux vous identifier, nous allons vous poser quelques questions et cela nous prendra maximum 10 minutes.
1	Gendre/sexe
2	Vous etes dans quelle cadre d'age ?
3	Age précise ?
4	Vous habitez dans ce quartier?
5	Vous habitez dans quel quartier?
6	Vous habitez dans quel quartier?
7	Dans quelle mesure envisagez-vous sérieusement de quitter le Sénégal pour aller dans un autre pays au cours des deux années à venir ?
8	Seriez-vous intéresser d'assister à une projection d'un film documentaire et est-ce que je peux vous inviter à assister à une projection ?
9	Dans quelle mesure pensez-vous être au courant des risques et des opportunités de la migration?
10	Comment évaluez-vous vos chances d'arriver dans le pays de destination?
11	Si vous arrivez dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous vos chances de pouvoir rester dans le pays de destination pour une longue durée / un long séjour ?
12	Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous le risque pour la vie, lorsque quelqu'un veut voyager à destination d'un autre pays par voie maritime ou terrestre ?
13	Selon vous, quelle est la probabilité que vous souffriez d'une forme quelconque de violence pendant un voyage? (y compris le travail forcé ou l'exploitation)
14	Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous les opportunités économiques dans votre pays d'origine ...? (sur une échelle de 1 à 7)
15	Selon vous, combien de jours le voyage irregulière/clandestine durerait par voie terrestre en passant par le désert ?
16	Selon vous, combien de jours le voyage irregulière/clandestine durerait par voie maritime ?
17	Combien pensez-vous gagner par mois une fois en Europe ?
18	Combien pensez-vous que le voyage pourrait vous coutier pour aller dans votre pays de destination ?
19	De quelle unité de monnaie la personne parle ?
20	Seriez-vous encore intéresser d'assister à une projection d'un film documentaire et est-ce que je peux vous inviter à assister à une projection ?
21	A l'enquêteur: Donne l'invitation CONTROLE
22	A l'enquêteur: Donne l'invitation TRAITEMENT
23	A l'enquêteur: Invitation donnée/acceptée?
24	A l'enquêteur: Quel est le numéro de l'invitation
25	A l'enquêteur: Repète: Quelle est la numero de l'invitation?

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

26	Pouvons nous vous recontacter si jamais la date et lieu de projection change, où si nous avons des informations à completer sur vous ?
27	Prénom
28	Nom
29	Numéro de Téléphone principal
30	Numéro de Téléphone secondaire
31	Numéro de Téléphone d'un ami / membre de la famille
32	Quel lien vous uni à cette personne ?
33	Merci d'avoir participé !
34	Pour l'enquêteur: Nous sommes dans quel quartier de Dakar?
35	Comment vous avez trouvé la personne?
36	Comment vous avez trouvé la personne?
37	Information géolocalisation / GPS
38	Nom d'enquêteur

Note: Questionnaire implemented through Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing. Enumerators explained and translated questions to local languages if requested. SurveyCTO version of the questionnaire available upon request.

**Table A6: Exit Questionnaire (original French version)**

Numéro de projection (1-32): _____ Numéro d'invitation : _____ Nom : _____							
1. Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous les opportunités économiques au Sénégal ... ?	7- Excellente <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très bonne <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Bonnes <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Moyenne <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Faible <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Très faible <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Infime <input type="checkbox"/>
2. Dans quelle mesure envisagez-vous sérieusement de quitter le Sénégal pour aller dans un autre pays au cours des deux années à venir ?	7 – Certaine <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très probable <input type="checkbox"/>	5 – Probable <input type="checkbox"/>	4 – Moyenne <input type="checkbox"/>	3 – Faible <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Très faible <input type="checkbox"/>	1 – Pas du tout <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Comment évaluez-vous vos chances d'arriver dans le pays de destination ?	7- Excellente <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très bonne <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Bonnes <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Moyenne <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Faible <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Très faible <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Infime <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Si vous arrivez, dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous vos chances de pouvoir rester dans le pays de destination pour une longue durée / un long séjour ?	7- Excellente <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très bonne <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Bonnes <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Moyenne <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Faible <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Très faible <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Infime <input type="checkbox"/>
5. Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous le risque pour la vie, lorsque quelqu'un veut voyager à destination d'un autre pays par voie maritime ou terrestre ?	7- Risques négligeables <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très faible risque <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Faible risque <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Risque moyen <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Risque élevé <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Risque très élevé <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Risque critique <input type="checkbox"/>
6. Selon vous, quelle est la probabilité que vous souffriez d'une forme quelconque de violence pendant un voyage ? (y compris le travail forcé ou l'exploitation)	7- Risques négligeables <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très faible risque <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Faible risque <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Risque moyen <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Risque élevé <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Risque très élevé <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Risque critique <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Dans quelle mesure pensez-vous être au courant des risques et des opportunités de la migration?	7 - très bien informé <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - bien informé <input type="checkbox"/>	5 – informé <input type="checkbox"/>	4 – neutre <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - peu informé <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - pas informé <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - pas du tout informé <input type="checkbox"/>
8. Comment trouvez-vous le film ?	7- Excellent <input type="checkbox"/>	6 - Très bon <input type="checkbox"/>	5 - Bon <input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Moyen <input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Faible <input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Très faible <input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Infime <input type="checkbox"/>
9. Selon vous, combien de jours le voyage irrégulière/clandestine durerait par voie terrestre en passant par le désert ?	Voie Terrestre _____		Voie Maritime _____		JOURS		
10. Combien pensez-vous gagner par mois une fois en Europe ?	_____		CFA				
11. Combien pensez-vous que le voyage pourrait vous coûter pour aller dans votre pays de destination ?	_____		CFA				

Note: This questionnaire was implemented in 'paper and pencil'. Field staff assisted respondents when necessary

## Table A7: Endline questionnaire (original French version)

#	Questions
	<p>Bonjour Mon nom est ..... je travaille pour l'agence d'études Marketing et Sondage d'opinion Dadch&amp;co et Hanovia.</p> <p>Comme convenu, nous venons aujourd'hui vous rendre visite pour la 3eme phase d'une étude afin de compléter avec vous un formulaire. Cette partie est très importante car elle nous permet de recueillir vos ressentis sur la projection de film que vous aviez regardé.</p> <p>Si vous acceptez de finaliser l'entretien avec nous, vous allez recevoir un présent comme convenu.</p> <p>Nous vous informons que toutes les réponses que nous allons collecter Durant cet entretien resteront confidentiels, vos données personnelles seront supprimées après avoir effectué les analyses et que personne ne les utilisera à d'autres fins.</p> <p>Pouvez nous contacter à tout moment pour des questions relatives à cette étude sur ce numéro. 76 739 3565.</p> <p>Je tiens à vous préciser que votre participation à cette étude est volontaire</p> <p>L'entretien durera moins d'1h</p> <p>Avez vous des questions?</p>
1	Pouvez-vous confirmer votre nom est \${pullfirstname1} - \${pulllastname1}?
2	Pouvez-vous confirmer votre nom est \${pullfirstname2} - \${pulllastname2}?
2b	Quel est votre nom complet ?
2c	Cette personne semble être la personne incorrecte. S'il vous plaît glisser jusqu'à la fin.
3	Acceptez –vous de participer à l'étude et pouvons-nous dérouler ensemble le formulaire ?
4	Puis-je savoir les raisons de votre refus
	Enquêteur: S'il vous plaît expliquer la raison pourquoi l'administration du questionnaire ne peut pas continuer.
	Au recenseur: avez-vous pris rendez-vous?
5	Quel âge avez-vous?
6	Dans quel interval d'age vous vous situez ?
7	Quel est le sexe du répondant?
8	Vous êtes de quel groupe ethnique ?
9	Quelle est votre adresse e-mail (le cas échéant) ?
10	Quelle est votre situation matrimoniale ?
11	Combien de personnes y a-t-il dans votre ménage?
12	Combien d'enfants avez-vous? (vous même)
13	Combien de frères et sœurs avez-vous?
14	Combien d'entre eux vivent dans un autre pays?
15	Combien d'entre eux vivent en Europe ?
16	Combien d'entre eux sont hommes ?
17	Quelle est votre religion?
18	Quel est votre niveau d'éducation formelle?
19	Le revenu du ménage est-il suffisant pour couvrir les dépenses mensuelles du ménage?
20	Au cours du dernier mois, quel était votre statut d'emploi?
20b	Autre: Veuillez spécifier
21	Mettre en catégories : Combien gagnez-vous par mois?
22	Pouvez-vous économiser de l'argent à la fin du mois?

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

23	Depuis combien de temps vivez-vous à Dakar?
24	Sur une échelle de 0 à 10 comment vous évaluez vous ? Etes-vous une personne qui prend des risques où êtes-vous une personne qui ne prend pas de risque ?
25	Envisagez-vous sérieusement de quitter le Sénégal pour vivre dans un autre pays dans les deux prochaines années ?
26a	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure envisagez-vous sérieusement de quitter le Sénégal pour vivre dans un autre pays dans les deux prochaines années ?
26b	SPECIFICATION - VOIE IRREGULIERE Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure envisagez-vous sérieusement de quitter le Sénégal pour vivre dans un autre pays par la voie irregulière dans les deux prochaines années ?
27	Combien de personnes connaissez-vous personnellement qui vivent à l'étranger?
28	Combien de personnes connaissez-vous personnellement qui vivent aux Etats-Unis?
29	Combien de personnes connaissez-vous personnellement qui vivent en Europe?
30	Recevez-vous de l'argent d'amis ou de la famille à l'étranger?
31	Avez-vous déjà migré dans le passé?
32	De quel pays vous êtes revenu au Sénégal ? (la dernière fois)
32b	Autre: Quel pays?
33	Pourquoi vous êtes revenu au Sénégal ?
34	Êtes-vous revenu de votre pays de destination ou d'un pays de transit?
35	Pourquoi avez-vous décidé de retourner au Sénégal?
36	Autre: Pourquoi avez-vous décidé de retourner au Sénégal?
37	Vous avez indiqué quelque intérêt pour la migration dans les deux prochaines années. Est-ce que vous avez déjà fait des préparatifs pour migrer ?
38	Lesquelles préparations est-ce que vous avez déjà fait ? Veuillez sélectionner tous qui applique.
38b	Autre: Spécifier
39	Si vous décidiez de migrer: quel pays serait votre destination préférée?
39b	Autre: Quel pays?
40	Quelles seraient les raisons principales pour votre plan de migrer ?
40b	Autre: Quelles autres raisons?
41	Qui peut influencer votre intention de migrer ou de ne pas migrer le plus ?
41b	Autre: Qui ?
42	Sur une échelle de 1 à 4 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 4 est le plus fort): Dans quelle mesure sentez-vous la pression familiale ou sociale pour migrer ?
43	Sur une échelle de 1 à 5 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 5 est le plus fort) : Selon vous, quelle est la probabilité que vous obteniez un visa pour émigrer (de manière permanente) légalement?
43b	Avez-vous déjà fait une demande de visa pour vivre en Europe ?
43c	Votre demande de visa a-t-elle été approuvée?
44	Sur une échelle de 1 à 5 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 5 est le plus fort): Dans quelle manière est-ce que vous êtes d'accord avec cette proposition: Migration est un fait normal de notre société.
45	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Pensez-vous que vous êtes bien informé de la manière dont une personne peut migrer en Europe ?
46	Quelle est votre principale source d'informations sur la migration?
46b	Autre : Quelle source?
47	Quels médias utilisez-vous régulièrement? [TV, Radio, Internet, Journaux / Magazines, Facebook / Whatsapp / Instagram / Twitter]
48	S'il vous plaît devinez, combien de personnes sont mortes en tentant de traverser la Méditerranée depuis 2014?
49	Savez-vous ce qu'est l'asile politique/legal / statut de réfugié ?
50	Pensez-vous que vous serez admissible à l'asile en Europe?

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

51	Selon vous, quelle est la probabilité que l'une des situations suivantes vous arrives personnellement en essayant de migrer a l'Europe en situation irrégulière? [Manque de nourriture et d'eau, Coups de fouets Déportation (refouler), Emprisonnement, Perdre tout votre argent, Travail forcé ou conditions similaires à l'esclavage, Assister à la mort de quelqu'un d'autre]
52	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous les opportunités économiques au Sénégal ... ?
53	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Comment évaluez-vous vos chances d'arriver dans le pays de destination ?
54	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Si vous arrivez, dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous vos chances de pouvoir rester dans le pays de destination pour une longue durée / un long séjour ?
55	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure évaluez-vous les risques pour la vie, lorsque quelqu'un veut voyager irregulièrement à Europe par voie maritime ou terrestre ?
56	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Selon vous, quelle est la probabilité que vous souffriez d'une forme quelconque de violence pendant un voyage vers l'Europe irregulierement ? (y compris le travail forcé ou l'exploitation)
57	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure pensez-vous être au courant des risques et des opportunités de la migration?
58	VOIE TERRESTRE - Selon vous, combien de jours le voyage irrégulière/clandestine durera pour quelqu'un par voie terrestre en passant par le désert pour aller a l'Europe ?
59	VOIE MARITIME - Selon vous, combien de jours le voyage irrégulière/clandestine durera pour quelqu'un en passant par la mer pour aller a l'Europe ?
60	En CFA : Combien pensez-vous que quelqu'un peut gagner par mois une fois en Europe ?
61	Est-ce que vous pouvez me donner une idee dans ces intervals ?
62	En CFA : Combien pensez-vous que le voyage pourrait vous coutier pour aller dans votre pays de destination ?
63	Est-ce que vous pouvez me donner une idee dans ces intervals ?
64	Vous souvenez-vous d'avoir été invité à une projection de film par Dadch ?
65	Avez-vous assister à la projection ?
66	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Comment trouvez-vous le film ?
66b	Avez-vous rempli le sondage directement après le film / l'événement?
67	Lequel était le message principal du film ?
67b	Autre: Spécifier
67c	Sur une échelle de 1 à 7 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 7 est le plus fort) : Dans quelle mesure le film a-t-il touché votre sensibilité émotionnelle ?
68	Avez-vous confiance en l'information présentée?
69	Pensez-vous que l'événement vous a fait penser différemment à la migration?
70	Sur une échelle de 1 à 5 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 5 est le plus fort) : Êtes-vous d'accord avec cette affirmation: je savais avant la projection que la migration irregulière est dangereuse
71	Avez-vous cherché plus d'informations sur la migration depuis que vous avez suivi le film?
72	D'où avez-vous cherché plus d'informations?
73	Veuillez préciser vos autres sources d'information
74	Depuis que vous avez suivi le film, avec environ combien de personnes en avez-vous parlé ? (discuter le film)
75	Sur une échelle de 1 à 5 (ou 1 est le plus bas et 5 est le plus fort) : Êtes-vous d'accord avec cette affirmation: peu importe la difficulté, dieu me protégera pendant la migration potentielle.
76	Avez-vous tiré des enseignements après avoir écouté le discours les migrants de retour
77	Ne listez pas les réponses : Selon vous, quels était le message le plus fort de ces contenus?
78	Est-ce que le fait d'écouter les témoignages des migrants a changé votre perception des risques du voyage?
79	Dans quelle mesure le contenu a impacté votre souhait de voyager de manière irregulière?

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

80	Avez-vous des pires ou meilleures perceptions des opportunités dans ce pays (Sénégal) ?
81	Est-ce que vous vous souvenez de la discussion après le film ?
82	Est-ce que vous avez dit quelquechose pendant la discussion ?
83	Entre le film et la discussion, lequel était plus intéressant pour vous ?
84	Encourageriez-vous un ami de migrer irrégulièrement?
85	Pensez-vous que les migrants de retour sont des sources fiables pour avoir des informations sur la migration?
86	Pensez-vous que les migrants de retour devraient être fiers ou avoir honte d'être de retour chez eux?
87	Est-ce que vous (aussi) connaissez la campagne "Migrants comme Messagers" des réseaux sociaux ou des amis ou la famille ?
88	D'où est-ce que vous connaissez la campagne ?
88b	Autre : d'où est-ce que vous connaissez la campagne ?
89	Savez-vous quelle organisation a organisé les projections de films?
90	Quelle organisation a organisé les projections?
90b	Autre : Quelle organisation a organisé les projections ?
91	Sur ces trois groupes, à qui faites-vous le plus confiance en ce qui concerne les informations sur la migration irrégulière?
92	Autre que le film, dans quelle mesure avez-vous été exposé à d'autres campagnes d'information sur la migration à distance ou en personne ?
	A l'enquêteur: Donne le goodie au répondant et "Merci pour la coopération!"
93	Collecte le GPS
94	Commentaires de l'enquêteur

Note: Questionnaire implemented through Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing. Enumerators explained and translated questions to local languages if requested. SurveyCTO version of the questionnaire available upon request.

## Table A8: Description and operationalization of main outcome variables

<b>Section</b>	<b>Theme</b>	<b>Concept</b>	<b>Item<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Original Scale</b>	<b>Recoded Scale<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>Information</b>					
5.1	Subjective information level	risks and opportunities	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): To what extent do you think you are aware of the risks and opportunities of migration?	1 - not at all informed 2 - not informed 3 - uninformed 4 - neutral 5 - informed 6 - knowledgeable 7 - very well informed	0 = 1 2 3 4 ("not informed/neutral") 1 = 5 6 7 ("informed")
5.1	Subjective information level	Migrating to Europe	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): Do you think you are well informed about how a person can migrate to Europe?	1 - not at all informed 2 - not informed 3 - uninformed 4 - neutral 5 - informed 6 - knowledgeable 7 - very well informed	0 = 1 2 3 4 ("not informed/neutral") 1= 5 6 7 ("informed")
<b>Knowledge</b>					
5.2	Knowledge	Deaths	Please guess, how many people have died trying to cross the Mediterranean since 2014?	-77 – no response -66 – Don't know Ordinal variable: 0, 50, 100, 1000, 15000, 100000, 200000, more than 200,000	I = "Dont know/underestimated" (-66 0 50 100 1000) 0= "Similar or more than the minimum estimate" (see MMP project) (15000 100000 200000)
5.2	Knowledge	Visa	On a scale of 1 to 5 (where 1 is the lowest and 5 is the highest): In your opinion, what is the probability that you will obtain a visa to emigrate	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	5 4 3 =0 "neutral or likely" vs. 1 2 = 1 "unlikely"

<sup>1</sup> See Tables A5-A7 for the full questionnaire in French.

<sup>2</sup> Variables were recoded for ease of interpretation on substantive and distributional grounds. See Tables A9-A20 for robustness checks using key models with different scales.

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

			(permanently) legally?		
	Knowledge	Asylum	Do you know what political/legal/refugee status is?	0 – no 1 - yes	n/a
5.2	Knowledge	Asylum	Do you think you will be eligible for asylum in Europe?	0 – no 1 - yes	n/a
5.2	Knowledge	Duration	How many days do you think the irregular/clandestine trip would take for someone overland through the desert to Europe?	Days	n/a
5.2	Knowledge	Duration	How many days do you think the irregular/clandestine trip would last for someone travelling by sea to Europe?	Days	n/a
5.2	Knowledge	Costs	How much do you think the trip could cost you to go to your destination country? (in CFA)	CFA	USD (exchange rate from May 2019; x 0.00172740)
5.2	Knowledge	Earnings	How much do you think someone can earn per month once in Europe? (in CFA)	CFA	USD (exchange rate from May 2019; x 0.00172740)
<b>Perceptions</b>					
5.3	Risks	Life	On a scale of 1 to 7 (or 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): To what extent do you assess the risks to life when someone wants to travel to Europe irregularly by sea or land?	1- Negligible risks 2 - Very low risk 3 - Low risk 4 - Medium risk 5 - High risk 6 - Very high risk 7 - Critical risk	0 – (low: 1-5) 1 – (high: 6-7)
5.3	Risks	Violence	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): In your opinion, what is the probability that you will suffer some form of violence during a journey to Europe irregularly? (including forced labour or exploitation)	1- Negligible risks 2 - Very low risk 3 - Low risk 4 - Medium risk 5 - High risk 6 - Very high risk 7 - Critical risk	0 – (low: 1-5) 1 – (high: 6-7)

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

5.3	Risk	Arriving	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): How would you rate your chances of arriving in the destination country?	1 - Tiny 2 - Very weak 3 - Low 4 - Medium 5 - Good 6 - Very good 7- Excellent	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5-7)
5.3	Risks	Staying	On a scale from 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): If you arrive, to what extent do you assess your chances of being able to stay in the destination country for a long time / a long stay?	1 - Tiny 2 - Very weak 3 - Low 4 - Medium 5 - Good 6 - Very good 7- Excellent	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5-7)
In your opinion, what is the probability that one of the following situations will happen to you personally when trying to migrate to Europe in an irregular situation?					
5.3	Risks	Money	Losing all your money	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Beating	Beatings	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Forced labour	Forced labour or conditions similar to slavery	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Food & water	Lack of food and water	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Deportation	Deportation	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Imprisonment	Imprisonment	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely 5 - Very likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)
5.3	Risks	Death	Attend the death of someone else	1 - Very unlikely 2 - Unlikely 3 - Neutral 4 - Likely	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5)

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

				5 - Very likely	
<b>Migration (Intentions)</b>					
5.4	Migration	General	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): To what extent are you seriously considering leaving Senegal to live in another country within the next two years?	1 - Not at all 2 - Very weak 3 - Low 4 - Average 5 - Probable 6 - Very likely 7 - Certain	0 – (low: 1-4) 1 – (high: 5-7)
5.4	Migration	Preparations	You have indicated some interest in migration in the next two years. Have you already made any preparations for migration?	0 – No 1 - Yes	n/a
5.4	Migration	Irregular	On a scale of 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): To what extent are you seriously considering leaving Senegal through irregular means to live in another country within the next two years?	1 - Not at all 2 - Very weak 3 - Low 4 - Average 5 - Probable 6 - Very likely 7 - Certain	0 – (No: 0) 1 – (Yes: 2-7)
<b>Economic Opportunities at home</b>					
5.5	Opportunities	Economic opportunities	On a scale from 1 to 7 (where 1 is the lowest and 7 is the highest): To what extent do you assess economic opportunities in Senegal?	1 - Tiny 2 - Very weak 3 - Low 4 - Medium 5 - Good 6 - Very good 7- Excellent	0 – (low: 1-3) 1 – (high: 4-7)
<b>Perceptions of returnees</b>					
5.6	Returnees	Returnees	Do you think that returning migrants should be proud or ashamed to be back home?	1 - Proud 2 - Ashamed 3 - Neither 9 - No answer	0 – (not proud: 3; 2) 1 – (proud: 1)

**Table A9: Description and operationalization of control variables**

Variable	Scale
Age	metric
Sex	0 = male 1 = female
Ethnic group	0 = other 1 = Wolof
Family status	0 = never married 1 = else
Household size	0 = 8 or less household members 1 = more than 8
Number of kids	0 = 0 1 = 1 or more
Number of siblings	0 = less than 6 1 = more than 6
Contacts with people abroad	metric
Previous migration experience	0 = no 1 = yes
Educational attainment	0 = primary education or less 1 = secondary education or more
Household income enough to meet monthly expenses	0 = no 1 = yes
Receiving remittances	0 = no 1 = yes

Note: All control variables except for age and sex were collected at endline. Control variables are unlikely to vary over time.

## Table A10: Main Treatment Effects on Subjective Information Levels

<b>Outcome Variables</b>	<b>Risks of Migration (binary)</b>	<b>Risks of Migration (7-point Likert scale)</b>	<b>How to Migrate to Europe (binary)</b>	<b>How to Migrate to Europe (7-point Likert scale)</b>
<i>Unadjusted</i>				
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	0.119*** 0.03	0.252** 0.093	0.090** 0.032	0.285** 0.105
Constant	0.633*** 0.022	4.606*** 0.066	0.566*** 0.023	4.259*** 0.075
N. of observations	923	923	923	923
<i>Adjusted for controls and baseline values</i>				
MaM Treatment Effect	0.121*** 0.032	0.277** 0.095	0.095** 0.033	0.327** 0.11
Constant	0.222 0.128	2.909*** 0.386	0.008 0.136	1.792*** 0.444
N. of observations	821	821	821	821
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001				

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table AII: Interaction Effects - Self-Assessed Information Levels**

	<b>Risks of migration (binary)</b>	<b>How to Migrate to Europe (binary)</b>
<b>Age</b>	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - younger people (<24)	0.107*	0.107*
	0.048	0.051
Base difference - older people (>23)	0.100*	0.092
	0.046	0.049
Interaction effect - treatment * older people (>23)	-0.015	-0.062
	0.065	0.069
Constant	0.592***	0.527***
	0.034	0.036
N	804	804
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.036	0.341
<b>Gender</b>		
Treatment effect - male	0.108***	0.091**
	0.032	0.034
Base difference - female	-0.212***	-0.135*
	0.064	0.068
Interaction effect - Treatment * female	0.066	-0.03
	0.092	0.098
Constant	0.660***	0.584***
	0.023	0.024
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.044	0.506
<b>Marriage status</b>		
Treatment effect - not married	0.127***	0.089*
	0.033	0.035
Base difference - married or in relationship	0.094	0.031
	0.058	0.061
Interaction effect - Treatment * married	-0.054	0.001
	0.079	0.084
Constant	0.617***	0.561***
	0.024	0.025
N	923	923

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.305	0.239
<b>Household Size</b>		
Treatment effect - smaller households (<9)	0.144***	0.048
	0.041	0.044
Base difference - larger households (>8)	-0.016	-0.023
	0.043	0.046
Interaction effect - Treatment * larger households (>8)	-0.056	0.091
	0.06	0.064
Constant	0.640***	0.577***
	0.03	0.031
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.048	0.003
<b>Education</b>		
Treatment effect - less than secondary education	0.121***	0.094*
	0.036	0.038
Base difference - education: secondary or more	0.034	0.022
	0.047	0.05
Interaction - Treatment * education: secondary or more	-0.003	-0.009
	0.066	0.07
Constant	0.623***	0.560***
	0.026	0.027
N	922	922
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.033	0.152
<b>HH has enough money to cover expenses</b>		
Treatment effect - HH does not enough money at end of month	0.106**	0.078*
	0.036	0.039
Base difference - enough HH money at the end of the month	-0.071	-0.073
	0.046	0.049
Interaction - Treatment *enough HH money	0.038	0.034
	0.065	0.069
Constant	0.656***	0.590***
	0.026	0.028
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.008	0.052

<b>Employment status</b>		
Treatment effect - working	0.090*	0.085*
	0.038	0.041
Base difference - unemployed	-0.114**	-0.011
	0.044	0.047
Interaction - Treatment * unemployed	0.066	0.013
	0.062	0.066
Constant	0.679***	0.571***
	0.028	0.03
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.001	0.06
<b>Number of people known that live abroad</b>		
Treatment effect - fewer people known abroad (<6)	0.162***	0.113*
	0.043	0.045
Base difference - more people known abroad (>5)	0.121**	0.179***
	0.046	0.048
Interaction - Treatment * more people known abroad (>5)	-0.08	-0.041
	0.064	0.068
Constant	0.570***	0.478***
	0.03	0.032
N	824	824
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.089	0.157
<b>Receiving remittances</b>		
Treatment effect - not receiving remittances	0.116**	0.104**
	0.036	0.039
Base difference - receiving remittances	0.038	0.08
	0.047	0.05
Interaction - Treatment * receiving remittances	0.01	-0.032
	0.065	0.069
Constant	0.619***	0.540***
	0.026	0.027
N	918	918
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.02	0.215
<b>Intentions to migrate at baseline</b>		
Treatment effect - lower intentions to migrate	0.125**	0.109*

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.042	0.045
Base difference - higher intentions to migrate	0.067	0.064
	0.043	0.046
Interaction - Treatment * higher intentions to migrate	-0.013	-0.04
	0.06	0.064
Constant	0.600***	0.535***
	0.03	0.032
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.009	0.129
<b>Feeling informed about migration at baseline</b>		
Treatment effect - feeling less informed about migration	0.104	0.139*
	0.054	0.057
Base difference - feeling better informed	0.093*	0.148**
	0.046	0.049
Interaction - Treatment * feeling better informed	0.019	-0.074
	0.065	0.069
Constant	0.569***	0.465***
	0.038	0.04
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.001	0.089
<b>Ethnic group</b>		
Treatment effect - non-Wolof	0.104**	0.077*
	0.037	0.039
Base difference - non-Wolof	0.024	0.035
	0.046	0.048
Interaction - Treatment * Wolof	0.042	0.035
	0.064	0.068
Constant	0.625***	0.555***
	0.026	0.028
N	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.005	0.041
<b>Number of children</b>	0.139***	0.098**
Treatment effect - no children	0.033	0.035
	0.087	-0.003
Base difference - one or more children	0.057	0.061
	-0.114	-0.044

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Interaction - Treatment * one or more children	0.078	0.083
	0.618***	0.567***
Constant	0.024	0.025
	923	923
N	0.723	0.475
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$		
<b>Number of siblings</b>	0.154***	0.106*
Treatment effect - fewer siblings (<6)	0.04	0.043
	0.093*	0.073
Base difference - more siblings (>5)	0.043	0.046
	-0.076	-0.031
Interaction - Treatment * more siblings (>5)	0.061	0.064
	0.590***	0.533***
Constant	0.029	0.031
	923	923
N	0.083	0.123

**Table A12: Main Treatment Effects on Knowledge about Migration**

	<b>Estimated Migrant Deaths (binary)</b>	<b>Knowledge about Asylum (binary)</b>	<b>Eligibility for Asylum (binary)</b>	<b>Eligibility for Asylum (7-point-likert scale)</b>	<b>Length of journey by land</b>	<b>Length of journey by sea</b>	<b>Costs of the journey (USD)</b>	<b>Expected Earnings (USD)</b>
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
<i>Unadjusted</i>								
MaM Treatment Effect	-0.017 0.03	0.011 0.029	-0.001 0.028	0.067 0.074	-0.824 3.47	-2.359 1.621	206.107 206.569	122.763 146.922
Constant	0.715*** 0.021	0.265*** 0.021	0.249*** 0.02	3.355*** 0.053	32.704*** 2.472	20.722*** 1.157	2058.018*** 147.672	1257.155*** 104.961
N. of observations	924	924	924	924	707	697	769	917
<i>Adjusted</i>								
MaM Treatment Effect	-0.045 0.049	-0.033 0.046	-0.024 0.048	0.111 0.123	0.255 5.844	-2.282 2.785	129.909 299.763	335.098 296.029
Constant	0.938*** 0.196	-0.485** 0.185	0.236 0.195	3.019*** 0.496	23.777 23.648	44.816*** 11.179	35.468 1200.539	902.966 1213.794
N. of observations	370	370	370	370	310	311	333	365

\* p&lt;0.05, \*\* p&lt;0.01, \*\*\* p&lt;0.001

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A13: Interaction Effects – Knowledge about Migration (unadjusted)**

	<b>Estimated Migrant Deaths</b>	<b>Knowledge about Asylum</b>	<b>Eligibility for Asylum (binary)</b>	<b>Eligibility for Asylum (7-point-likert scale)</b>	<b>Length of journey by land</b>	<b>Length of journey by sea</b>	<b>Costs of the journey</b>	<b>expected earnings</b>
<b>Age</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - younger people (<24)	0.009	-0.054	0.007	0.046	5.024	1.04	236.247	-158.704
	0.047	0.045	0.046	0.119	5.471	2.423	322.867	333.294
Base difference - older people (>23)	0.039	0.101*	0.025	-0.062	5.19	-3.588	-171.172	-59.422
	0.046	0.044	0.044	0.116	5.445	2.393	314.069	326.271
Interaction effect - treatment * older people (>23)	-0.054	0.091	0.011	-0.049	-9.509	-4.932	35.589	440.11
	0.064	0.061	0.062	0.162	7.57	3.339	438.398	451.932
Constant	0.712***	0.207***	0.234***	3.418***	29.895***	21.885***	2156.202**	1488.962*
	0.033	0.032	0.032	0.084	3.908	1.737	229.407	241.562
N	804	804	804	804	612	604	676	563
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.303	0.366	0.679	0.981	0.392	0.091	0.36	0.357
<b>Gender</b>								
Treatment effect - male	-0.017	-0.005	-0.007	0.108	-2.338	-2.442	215.913	122.157
	0.032	0.031	0.03	0.079	3.7	1.726	219.928	215.434
Base difference - female	0.028	-0.186**	-0.01	0.168	-12.965	0.402	-43.257	-133.11
	0.064	0.062	0.061	0.159	7.169	3.429	460.289	429.206
Interaction effect - Treatment * female	-0.007	0.109	0.049	-0.325	9.671	0.634	-121.865	-234.968
	0.092	0.09	0.088	0.228	10.817	5.206	653.246	619.981
Constant	0.713***	0.289***	0.251***	3.332***	34.548***	20.709***	2066.278**	1434.559*
	0.023	0.022	0.022	0.057	2.666	1.246	157.667	154.602
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.778	0.216	0.613	0.311	0.471	0.713	0.879	0.846

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

<b>Marriage status</b>								
Treatment effect - not married	-0.018	-0.013	0.009	0.077	-0.319	-2.165	309.359	-0.948
	0.033	0.032	0.031	0.082	3.796	1.769	228.806	221.173
Base difference - married or in relationship	0.087	-0.098	0.063	-0.109	2.315	-3.619	227.673	67.939
	0.057	0.056	0.054	0.142	6.743	3.146	386.403	371.793
Interaction effect - Treatment * married	-0.01	0.136	-0.061	-0.033	-3.466	-1.475	-581.696	651.03
	0.078	0.077	0.075	0.195	9.487	4.409	536.222	538.633
Constant	0.702***	0.282***	0.239***	3.372***	32.381***	21.346***	2020.525**	1404.818*
	0.023	0.023	0.022	0.058	2.709	1.264	163.328	159.284
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.693	0.078	0.438	0.805	0.664	0.368	0.575	0.186
<b>Household Size</b>								
Treatment effect - smaller households (<9)	-0.007	0.047	0.004	0.026	-1.286	-1.796	212.259	128.723
	0.041	0.04	0.039	0.101	4.712	2.232	284.802	280.566
Base difference - larger households (>8)	0.065	0.04	-0.002	0.076	-9.714*	-0.561	-182.396	-332.996
	0.043	0.042	0.041	0.106	4.937	2.321	296.347	287.909
Interaction effect - Treatment * larger households (>8)	-0.023	-0.078	-0.012	0.099	-0.163	-1.356	-26.817	-73.595
	0.06	0.059	0.057	0.149	6.951	3.255	414.662	403.292
Constant	0.686***	0.247***	0.251***	3.318***	37.458***	21.034***	2148.753**	1580.625*
	0.029	0.029	0.028	0.073	3.435	1.614	205.315	201.64
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.504	0.463	0.844	0.255	0.777	0.184	0.539	0.849
<b>Education</b>								
Treatment effect - less than secondary education	-0.014	-0.003	-0.008	0.061	-0.079	-1.193	301.248	152.762
	0.035	0.034	0.034	0.089	4.172	1.952	246.405	242.795
Base difference - education: secondary or more	-0.149**	0.153***	-0.016	0.017	4.693	3.868	311.333	-253.134

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.046	0.045	0.045	0.116	5.446	2.539	322.638	307.379
Interaction - Treatment * education: secondary or more	-0.018	0.043	0.023	0.023	-2.811	-4.159	-384.32	-208.382
	0.065	0.063	0.063	0.163	7.578	3.522	451.895	437.817
Constant	0.761***	0.220***	0.255***	3.349***	31.376***	19.617***	1968.218**	1499.797*
	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.063	2.953	1.382	176.323	175.489
N	922	922	922	922	705	695	767	644
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.555	0.452	0.778	0.542	0.648	0.068	0.826	0.879
<b>HH has enough money to cover expenses</b>								
Treatment effect - HH does not enough money at end of month	0.01	0.001	0.03	0.045	-2.529	-2.431	13.269	326.909
	0.036	0.035	0.034	0.089	4.152	1.936	245.828	240.9
Base difference - enough HH money at the end of the month	0.127**	0.04	-0.058	0.252*	-6.631	0.619	-398.848	163.717
	0.046	0.045	0.043	0.112	5.288	2.476	318.569	312.796
Interaction - Treatment *enough HH money	-0.085	0.035	-0.113	0.103	4.761	0.272	638.948	-765.111
	0.065	0.063	0.061	0.159	7.62	3.581	456.23	439.178
Constant	0.675***	0.252***	0.269***	3.272***	34.915***	20.561***	2186.707**	1367.551*
	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.064	3.018	1.413	178.702	172.407
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.167	0.492	0.105	0.264	0.727	0.474	0.09	0.233
<b>Employment status</b>								
Treatment effect - working	0.008	-0.019	-0.02	0.075	-0.773	-0.758	389.469	-101.265
	0.038	0.037	0.036	0.095	4.476	2.078	264.149	256.514
Base difference - unemployed	-0.027	-0.035	-0.027	-0.038	-0.694	6.657**	217.153	-612.944*
	0.044	0.043	0.041	0.108	5.046	2.354	302.132	292.204
Interaction - Treatment *unemployed	-0.076	0.077	0.048	-0.023	-0.316	-4.085	-485.486	463.016
	0.062	0.06	0.059	0.153	7.118	3.3	425.225	415.185
Constant	0.728***	0.280***	0.261***	3.369***	33.039***	18.107***	1974.342**	1669.449*

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.028	0.027	0.026	0.069	3.228	1.487	191.085	187.419
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.162	0.221	0.543	0.663	0.844	0.059	0.773	0.268
<b>Number of people known that live abroad</b>								
Treatment effect - fewer people known abroad (<6)	0.032	0.042	-0.032	0.153	1.352	-1.246	516.67	-27.436
	0.042	0.041	0.041	0.105	4.895	2.38	292.862	303.548
Base difference - more people known abroad (>5)	0.029	0.08	-0.095*	0.292**	1.244	1.885	428.543	354.767
	0.045	0.044	0.044	0.113	5.163	2.496	314.561	312.068
Interaction - Treatment * more people known abroad (>5)	-0.127*	-0.064	0.045	-0.101	-5.553	-1.765	-812.162	283.608
	0.063	0.062	0.062	0.159	7.241	3.493	440.537	437.688
Constant	0.713***	0.217***	0.309***	3.191***	32.659***	20.066***	1882.956**	1273.458*
	0.03	0.029	0.029	0.075	3.496	1.698	209.176	219.138
N	824	824	824	824	639	631	706	591
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.046	0.642	0.778	0.659	0.431	0.239	0.37	0.417
<b>Receiving remittances</b>								
Treatment effect - not receiving remittances	0.03	-0.024	-0.033	0.203*	-0.811	-1.071	281.146	-15.681
	0.036	0.035	0.034	0.089	4.194	1.956	249.994	245.173
Base difference - receiving remittances	-0.001	-0.069	-0.082	0.284*	-1.141	2.419	354.302	-15.445
	0.047	0.046	0.045	0.116	5.445	2.502	325.517	312.784
Interaction - Treatment * receiving remittances	-0.154*	0.11	0.102	-0.419**	-0.8	-4.849	-325.8	350.726
	0.065	0.063	0.062	0.16	7.573	3.526	448.239	436.146
Constant	0.717***	0.286***	0.276***	3.260***	33.248***	20.095***	1961.748**	1426.185*
	0.026	0.025	0.024	0.063	2.975	1.406	176.773	174.74
N	918	918	918	918	703	693	765	642
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.021	0.102	0.179	0.105	0.798	0.044	0.904	0.353

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

<b>Intentions to migrate at baseline</b>								
Treatment effect - lower intentions to migrate	-0.005	0.058	0.024	0.106	-6.551	-3.713	304.691	341.943
	0.042	0.041	0.04	0.105	4.923	2.31	292.704	282.634
Base difference - higher intentions to migrate	0.07	0.063	0.031	0.075	-13.065**	-4.665*	-231.227	500.515
	0.043	0.042	0.041	0.106	4.937	2.314	295.73	288.089
Interaction - Treatment * higher intentions to migrate	-0.028	-0.095	-0.051	-0.077	11.348	2.524	-203.05	-503.668
	0.06	0.059	0.057	0.149	6.924	3.239	413.408	403.276
Constant	0.683***	0.235***	0.235***	3.317***	39.306***	23.157***	2177.125**	1174.950*
	0.03	0.029	0.029	0.074	3.496	1.657	209.391	200.46
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.437	0.365	0.498	0.781	0.325	0.6	0.728	0.574
<b>Feeling informed about migration at baseline</b>								
Treatment effect - feeling less informed about migration	0.056	0	-0.035	0.125	-2.79	-2.779	543.305	-427.104
	0.054	0.052	0.051	0.133	6.288	3.008	373.981	371.449
Base difference - feeling better informed	0.053	0.013	-0.051	0.163	1.839	-1.459	218.008	-308.497
	0.046	0.045	0.044	0.114	5.316	2.556	319.494	315.936
Interaction - Treatment * feeling better informed	-0.107	0.015	0.049	-0.084	2.636	0.532	-490.098	744.468
	0.065	0.063	0.062	0.16	7.548	3.574	448.987	442.252
Constant	0.681***	0.257***	0.285***	3.243***	31.505***	21.798***	1911.213**	1634.993*
	0.038	0.037	0.036	0.094	4.384	2.153	265.007	265.404
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.152	0.673	0.685	0.65	0.971	0.245	0.83	0.187
<b>Ethnic group</b>								
Treatment effect - non-Wolof	-0.015	-0.008	-0.007	0.094	-2.747	-3.035	432.453	0.877
	0.037	0.036	0.035	0.091	4.27	2.012	253.851	251.604
Base difference - non-Wolof	-0.022	-0.051	-0.017	0.105	-7.896	-3.812	330.677	-100.59

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.045	0.044	0.043	0.112	5.239	2.424	310.786	299.836
Interaction - Treatment *								
Wolof	-0.01	0.056	0.017	-0.077	5.569	1.792	-683.444	275.332
	0.063	0.062	0.06	0.157	7.34	3.398	437.761	422.107
Constant	0.724***	0.282***	0.256***	3.319***	35.417***	22.104***	1946.568**	1453.895*
	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.065	3.042	1.438	182.986	180.88
N	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.629	0.344	0.838	0.893	0.636	0.65	0.482	0.415
<b>Number of children</b>	-0.026	0.002	0.006	0.083	1.066	-2.59	278.874	13.046
Treatment effect - no children	0.033	0.032	0.031	0.082	3.796	1.776	229.074	221.903
	-0.014	-0.073	0.147**	-0.211	10.935	-3.703	158.614	-140.547
Base difference - one or more children	0.057	0.055	0.054	0.14	6.637	3.084	386.559	380.308
	0.04	0.051	-0.052	-0.061	-11.647	0.971	-411.477	496.127
Interaction - Treatment * one or more children	0.078	0.076	0.074	0.193	9.403	4.368	535.094	534.817
	0.719***	0.278***	0.225***	3.390***	30.927***	21.392***	2032.864**	1441.750*
Constant	0.024	0.023	0.022	0.058	2.713	1.272	163.395	158.662
	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
N	0.843	0.44	0.492	0.896	0.219	0.685	0.784	0.296
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$								
<b>Number of siblings</b>	0.045	0.004	-0.005	0.107	-2.367	-1.874	303.966	-105.808
Treatment effect - fewer siblings (<6)	0.04	0.039	0.038	0.099	4.615	2.158	276.053	270.232
	0.142***	-0.002	0	0.137	-2.793	-0.368	78.703	-25.463
Base difference - more siblings (>5)	0.043	0.042	0.041	0.106	4.976	2.325	297.514	288.493
	-0.138*	0.016	0.009	-0.078	3.306	-1.4	-234.391	492.97
Interaction - Treatment * more siblings (>5)	0.06	0.059	0.058	0.15	7.041	3.289	417.669	406.885
	0.652***	0.266***	0.250***	3.291***	34.043***	20.934***	2025.524**	1429.294*
Constant	0.029	0.029	0.028	0.072	3.379	1.588	200.316	198.1

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	923	923	923	923	706	696	768	645
N	0.04	0.654	0.93	0.796	0.86	0.188	0.824	0.204
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$								

**Table A14: Main Treatment Effects on Risk Perceptions (binary)**

<i>Perceived risk of suffering from ...</i>									
	Risk to life	Violence	Lack of food	Beatings	Deportation	Imprisonment	Losing all money	Forced labor	Witnessing death
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
<i>Unadjusted</i>									
MaM Treatment Effect	0.078** 0.029	0.088** 0.033	0.047 0.033	0.103** 0.032	0.062 0.032	0.112*** 0.031	0.105** 0.032	0.098** 0.032	0.131*** 0.032
Constant	0.688 0.021	0.511 0.023	0.414 0.023	0.330 0.023	0.358 0.023	0.285 0.022	0.347 0.023	0.314 0.023	0.381 0.023
N. of observations	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
<i>Adjusted</i>									
MaM Treatment Effect	0.086** 0.031	0.072* 0.035	0.046 0.035	0.102** 0.034	0.067 0.034	0.114*** 0.033	0.115*** 0.034	0.103** 0.033	0.135*** 0.035
Constant	0.334 0.158	0.506 0.174	0.14 0.175	0.059 0.17	0.2 0.171	0.076 0.165	0.203 0.171	0.151 0.168	0.142 0.174
N. of observations	820	820	820	820	820	820	820	820	820

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001; Standard errors are shown in the row below the coefficients.

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

## Table A15: Main Treatment Effects on Risk Perceptions (Likert)

The following table uses the Likert scale (with categories from 1-7) as the dependent variable for the OLS regression.

	<i>Perceived risk of suffering from ...</i>									
	<b>Risk to life</b>	<b>Violence</b>	<b>Lack of food</b>	<b>Beatings</b>	<b>Deportation</b>	<b>Imprisonment</b>	<b>Losing all money</b>	<b>Forced labor</b>	<b>Witnessing death</b>	
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	
<i>Unadjusted</i>										
MaM Treatment Effect	0.224**	0.199**	0.100*	0.208***	0.081	0.177**	0.161***	0.195***	0.206***	
	0.075	0.072	0.05	0.051	0.058	0.057	0.046	0.056	0.048	
Constant	5.746***	5.457***	4.265***	4.119***	4.152***	4.031***	4.223***	4.073***	4.232***	
	0.053	0.051	0.036	0.037	0.041	0.041	0.033	0.04	0.034	
N. of observations	924	924	924	924	924	924	924	924	924	
<i>Adjusted</i>										
MaM Treatment Effect	0.223**	0.204**	0.104	0.197***	0.086	0.171**	0.179***	0.193**	0.219***	
	0.081	0.077	0.053	0.055	0.062	0.061	0.049	0.059	0.052	
Constant	4.886***	5.151***	3.919***	3.954***	3.637***	3.761***	4.215***	4.153***	3.835***	
	0.409	0.385	0.268	0.276	0.313	0.306	0.245	0.294	0.262	
N. of observations	821	821	821	821	821	821	821	821	821	

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001; Standard errors are shown in the row below the coefficients.

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

## Table A16: Main Treatment Effects on Index of Risk Perceptions (Robustness check)

As a robustness check, we created a risk index by adding all 7 risk variables that are measured on a 5-point Likert scale. (Each variable was subtracted by 1 so that it is measured from 0 to 4 instead of 1 to 5.) The minimal value of the index is 0 and the largest potential value is 28. The mean for the control group is 22.1 on this scale. The MaM event had a very significant impact on this risk index as a whole (+ 1.13 “steps” on the index).

<b>Impact of Treatment on Risk Index</b>	
	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	1.13***
	0.277
Constant	22.1
	0.198
N. of observations	923
*** p<0.001	

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A17: Interaction Effects – Risk Perceptions**

	<i>Risk to life</i>	<i>Risk of violence</i>	<i>Lack of food</i>	<i>Beatings</i>	<i>Deportation</i>	<i>Imprisonment</i>	<i>Losing all money</i>	<i>Forced labor</i>	<i>Witnessing death</i>
<b>Age</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - younger people (<24)	0.08	0.172***	0.089	0.176***	0.095	0.176***	0.160**	0.160**	0.171***
	0.047	0.052	0.052	0.051	0.051	0.049	0.051	0.05	0.052
Base difference - older people (>23)	0.03	0.095	0.098	0.062	0.044	0.118*	0.065	0.084	0.06
	0.045	0.05	0.05	0.049	0.05	0.048	0.05	0.049	0.05
Interaction effect - treatment * older people (>23)	0.012	-0.146*	-0.11	-0.124	-0.066	-0.131	-0.106	-0.116	-0.087
	0.063	0.07	0.07	0.069	0.069	0.067	0.069	0.068	0.07
Constant	0.663	0.457	0.375	0.299	0.337	0.234	0.321	0.277	0.364
	0.033	0.036	0.037	0.036	0.036	0.035	0.036	0.035	0.037
N	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804	804
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.032	0.578	0.665	0.256	0.535	0.318	0.247	0.338	0.076
<b>Gender</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - male	0.070*	0.090**	0.067	0.127***	0.090**	0.122***	0.116***	0.104**	0.143***
	0.031	0.035	0.035	0.034	0.034	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.035
Base difference - female	-0.058	-0.032	0.04	-0.002	0.044	-0.031	-0.003	-0.024	-0.041
	0.062	0.07	0.07	0.068	0.068	0.066	0.069	0.067	0.069
Interaction effect - Treatment * female	0.066	-0.026	-0.176	-0.209*	-0.241*	-0.098	-0.103	-0.057	-0.11
	0.09	0.1	0.1	0.098	0.098	0.095	0.099	0.097	0.1
Constant	0.695	0.515	0.409	0.330	0.353	0.289	0.348	0.317	0.386
	0.022	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.025	0.024	0.025
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.108	0.494	0.249	0.369	0.102	0.785	0.883	0.61	0.728
<b>Marriage status</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - not married	0.071*	0.079*	0.055	0.114**	0.077*	0.144***	0.127***	0.125***	0.153***
	0.032	0.036	0.036	0.035	0.035	0.034	0.035	0.035	0.036

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Base difference - married or in relationship	-0.02	-0.029	0.088	0.078	0.123*	0.179**	0.104	0.128*	0.144*
	0.056	0.062	0.062	0.061	0.061	0.059	0.061	0.06	0.062
Interaction effect - Treatment * married	0.042	0.047	-0.054	-0.066	-0.095	-0.192*	-0.13	-0.16	-0.13
	0.077	0.086	0.086	0.084	0.084	0.081	0.084	0.083	0.085
Constant	0.691	0.516	0.399	0.316	0.338	0.255	0.330	0.293	0.356
	0.023	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.104	0.103	0.982	0.523	0.82	0.516	0.975	0.643	0.768
<hr/>									
<b>Household Size</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - smaller households (<9)	0.073	0.027	0.039	0.124**	0.074	0.128**	0.075	0.103*	0.122**
	0.04	0.044	0.044	0.043	0.044	0.042	0.044	0.043	0.044
Base difference - larger households (>8)	-0.032	-0.07	-0.054	-0.02	0.024	-0.025	-0.071	-0.017	-0.08
	0.042	0.047	0.047	0.046	0.046	0.044	0.046	0.045	0.046
Interaction effect - Treatment * larger households (>8)	0.01	0.130*	0.014	-0.047	-0.025	-0.037	0.063	-0.011	0.016
	0.059	0.065	0.066	0.064	0.064	0.062	0.064	0.063	0.065
Constant	0.703	0.544	0.439	0.339	0.347	0.297	0.381	0.322	0.418
	0.029	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.032	0.031	0.032	0.031	0.032
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.053	0.001	0.265	0.101	0.302	0.047	0.004	0.051	0.004
<hr/>									
<b>Education</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - less than secondary education	0.067	0.056	0.056	0.103**	0.047	0.105**	0.096*	0.076*	0.113**
	0.035	0.039	0.039	0.038	0.038	0.037	0.038	0.038	0.039
Base difference - education: secondary or more	-0.045	-0.048	0.08	-0.034	-0.064	-0.034	-0.027	-0.054	-0.064
	0.046	0.051	0.051	0.05	0.05	0.049	0.05	0.049	0.051
Interaction - Treatment * education: secondary or more	0.045	0.104	-0.026	0.004	0.052	0.025	0.032	0.075	0.066
	0.064	0.072	0.072	0.07	0.07	0.068	0.071	0.069	0.071
Constant	0.701	0.525	0.390	0.340	0.377	0.296	0.355	0.330	0.399

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.025	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.026	0.027	0.027	0.028
N	922	922	922	922	922	922	922	922	922
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.039	0.008	0.62	0.069	0.092	0.023	0.031	0.009	0.003
<b>HH has enough money to cover expenses</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - HH does not enough money at end of month	0.074*	0.117**	0.03	0.118**	0.086*	0.123**	0.138***	0.129***	0.159***
	0.035	0.039	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.037	0.039	0.038	0.039
Base difference - enough HH money at the end of the month	0.039	0.130**	-0.018	0.076	0.074	0.031	0.09	0.099*	0.071
	0.045	0.05	0.05	0.049	0.049	0.047	0.049	0.048	0.05
Interaction - Treatment *enough HH money	0.019	-0.087	0.054	-0.042	-0.072	-0.037	-0.103	-0.096	-0.088
	0.063	0.07	0.071	0.069	0.069	0.067	0.069	0.068	0.07
Constant	0.675	0.469	0.420	0.305	0.334	0.275	0.318	0.282	0.357
	0.025	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.028	0.027	0.028
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.077	0.608	0.151	0.182	0.818	0.12	0.547	0.555	0.221
<b>Employment status</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - working	0.046	0.037	0.041	0.100*	0.046	0.111**	0.091*	0.090*	0.117**
	0.037	0.042	0.042	0.041	0.041	0.04	0.041	0.04	0.042
Base difference - unemployed	-0.079	-0.083	0.017	0.012	-0.018	-0.005	0.001	-0.017	-0.009
	0.042	0.047	0.048	0.046	0.047	0.045	0.047	0.046	0.047
Interaction - Treatment * unemployed	0.08	0.129	0.017	0.011	0.043	0.002	0.038	0.018	0.037
	0.06	0.067	0.067	0.066	0.066	0.064	0.066	0.065	0.067
Constant	0.720	0.545	0.407	0.325	0.366	0.287	0.347	0.321	0.384
	0.027	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.029	0.03	0.029	0.03
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.007	0.002	0.266	0.031	0.088	0.025	0.013	0.033	0.003
<b>Number of people known that live abroad</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Treatment effect - fewer people known abroad (<6)	0.088*	0.057	0.038	0.130**	0.086	0.144**	0.130**	0.131**	0.146**
	0.041	0.046	0.046	0.045	0.045	0.044	0.045	0.044	0.046
Base difference - more people known abroad (>5)	-0.013	0.046	0.049	0.093	0.061	0.100*	0.117*	0.142**	0.136**
	0.044	0.049	0.05	0.048	0.049	0.047	0.049	0.048	0.049
Interaction - Treatment * more people known abroad (>5)	-0.005	0.033	0.01	-0.07	-0.049	-0.078	-0.043	-0.071	-0.038
	0.063	0.07	0.07	0.068	0.069	0.066	0.069	0.067	0.069
Constant	0.687	0.504	0.400	0.300	0.343	0.248	0.304	0.257	0.330
	0.029	0.033	0.033	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.032
N	824	824	824	824	824	824	824	824	824
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.079	0.084	0.362	0.244	0.469	0.186	0.092	0.237	0.037
<b>Receiving remittances</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - not receiving remittances	0.043	0.091*	0.016	0.089*	0.057	0.099**	0.067	0.093*	0.098*
	0.035	0.039	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.037	0.039	0.038	0.039
Base difference - receiving remittances	-0.106*	-0.022	-0.091	-0.084	-0.096	-0.063	-0.120*	-0.019	-0.096
	0.046	0.051	0.051	0.05	0.05	0.049	0.05	0.049	0.051
Interaction - Treatment * receiving remittances	0.122	-0.005	0.107	0.063	0.026	0.05	0.132	0.023	0.108
	0.063	0.071	0.071	0.069	0.069	0.067	0.069	0.068	0.07
Constant	0.717	0.514	0.441	0.352	0.387	0.302	0.381	0.317	0.410
	0.025	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.028
N	918	918	918	918	918	918	918	918	918
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.002	0.142	0.037	0.008	0.146	0.008	0.001	0.041	0
<b>Intentions to migrate at baseline</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - lower intentions to migrate	0.092*	0.179***	0.122**	0.171***	0.123**	0.193***	0.183***	0.175***	0.186***
	0.041	0.046	0.046	0.045	0.045	0.044	0.045	0.044	0.046
Base difference - higher intentions to migrate	-0.015	0.076	0.072	0.087	0.075	0.121**	0.088	0.091*	0.075
	0.042	0.046	0.047	0.045	0.046	0.044	0.046	0.045	0.046
Interaction - Treatment * higher intentions to migrate	-0.028	-0.183**	-0.151*	-0.136*	-0.124	-0.166**	-0.158*	-0.157*	-0.111

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.058	0.065	0.065	0.064	0.064	0.062	0.064	0.063	0.065
Constant	0.696	0.474	0.378	0.287	0.322	0.226	0.304	0.270	0.343
	0.029	0.033	0.033	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.033
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.121	0.916	0.529	0.444	0.989	0.53	0.581	0.682	0.106
<b>Feeling informed about migration at baseline</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - feeling less informed about migration	0.083	0.097	0.007	0.111	0.063	0.063	0.076	0.083	0.104
	0.052	0.058	0.058	0.057	0.057	0.056	0.057	0.056	0.058
Base difference - feeling better informed	-0.009	0.006	0.006	0.066	0.057	-0.009	0.031	0.033	0.028
	0.045	0.05	0.05	0.049	0.049	0.048	0.049	0.048	0.05
Interaction - Treatment * feeling better informed	-0.007	-0.014	0.058	-0.012	-0.002	0.071	0.04	0.02	0.038
	0.063	0.07	0.071	0.069	0.069	0.067	0.069	0.068	0.07
Constant	0.694	0.507	0.410	0.285	0.319	0.292	0.326	0.292	0.361
	0.037	0.041	0.041	0.04	0.041	0.039	0.041	0.04	0.041
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.031	0.035	0.102	0.01	0.117	0	0.003	0.007	0
<b>Ethnic group</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - non-Wolof	0.113**	0.098*	0.036	0.087*	0.074	0.108**	0.116**	0.107**	0.163***
	0.036	0.04	0.04	0.039	0.039	0.038	0.04	0.039	0.04
Base difference - non-Wolof	0.100*	0.018	-0.005	0.002	0.039	-0.001	0.025	0.025	0.005
	0.044	0.049	0.05	0.048	0.049	0.047	0.049	0.048	0.049
Interaction - Treatment * Wolof	-0.104	-0.031	0.031	0.047	-0.036	0.011	-0.033	-0.028	-0.094
	0.062	0.069	0.069	0.067	0.068	0.066	0.068	0.067	0.069
Constant	0.654	0.505	0.415	0.329	0.346	0.286	0.339	0.306	0.379
	0.026	0.029	0.029	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.028	0.028	0.028
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.858	0.234	0.229	0.014	0.489	0.026	0.134	0.147	0.215

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

<b>Number of children</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - no children	0.096**	0.097**	0.07	0.127***	0.087*	0.144***	0.137***	0.130***	0.162***
	0.032	0.036	0.036	0.035	0.035	0.034	0.035	0.035	0.036
Base difference - one or more children	0.098	0.049	0.12	0.066	0.063	0.120*	0.107	0.116	0.082
	0.055	0.062	0.062	0.06	0.061	0.059	0.061	0.06	0.061
Interaction - Treatment * one or more children	-0.101	-0.053	-0.134	-0.129	-0.138	-0.180*	-0.180*	-0.181*	-0.173*
	0.076	0.085	0.085	0.083	0.083	0.081	0.083	0.082	0.084
Constant	0.671	0.503	0.393	0.318	0.348	0.265	0.329	0.294	0.366
	0.023	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.94	0.565	0.41	0.972	0.502	0.619	0.573	0.495	0.891
<b>Number of siblings</b>	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
Treatment effect - fewer siblings (<6)	0.085*	0.052	0.04	0.108*	0.037	0.105*	0.091*	0.108*	0.100*
	0.039	0.044	0.044	0.043	0.043	0.042	0.043	0.042	0.043
Base difference - more siblings (>5)	-0.01	-0.038	-0.036	-0.032	-0.076	-0.039	-0.047	0.006	-0.081
	0.042	0.047	0.047	0.046	0.046	0.045	0.046	0.045	0.047
Interaction - Treatment * more siblings (>5)	-0.016	0.082	0.012	-0.015	0.052	0.011	0.029	-0.025	0.066
	0.059	0.066	0.066	0.064	0.065	0.063	0.065	0.064	0.065
Constant	0.693	0.529	0.430	0.344	0.393	0.303	0.369	0.311	0.418
	0.028	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.031	0.03	0.031	0.031	0.032
N	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923	923
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.121	0.007	0.288	0.052	0.067	0.013	0.014	0.08	0.001
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001									

**Table A18: Main Treatment Effects on the Perceived Chances to Arrive and Stay in the Destination Country**

<b>Outcome Variables</b>	<b>Chances to arrive (binary)</b>	<b>Chances to arrive (7-point Likert scale)</b>	<b>Chances to stay (binary)</b>	<b>Chances to stay (7-point Likert scale)</b>
<i>Unadjusted</i>				
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	0.023	-0.04	0.005	0.01
	0.028	0.092	0.032	0.085
Constant	0.225***	3.541***	0.362***	4.024***
	0.02	0.066	0.023	0.061
N. of observations	924	924	924	924
<i>Adjusted</i>				
MaM Treatment Effect	0.025	-0.049	0.011	0.031
	0.03	0.095	0.034	0.09
Constant	0.380***	4.307***	0.649***	4.563***
	0.113	0.364	0.128	0.342
N. of observations	821	821	821	821

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A19: Main Effects on Perception of Economic Opportunities in Senegal**

<b>Outcome Variables</b>	<b>Economic Opportunities in Senegal (binary)</b>	<b>Economic Opportunities in Senegal (7-point Likert scale)</b>
<i>Unadjusted</i>		
	b/se	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	0.016	0.099
	0.03	0.083
Constant	0.302***	3.742***
	0.022	0.059
N. of observations	924	924
<i>Adjusted</i>		
MaM Treatment Effect	0.037	0.16
	0.032	0.086
Constant	0.222	3.592***
	0.122	0.329
N. of observations	821	821
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001		

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A20: Main Effects on Perception of Returnees**

<b>Outcome Variables</b>	<b>Perception of Returnees (binary)</b>
<i>Unadjusted</i>	
	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	0.06
	0.033
Constant	0.526***
	0.023
N. of observations	915
<i>Adjusted</i>	
MaM Treatment Effect	0.054
	0.035
Constant	0.465***
	0.134
N. of observations	814

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A21: Main Treatment Effects on Intentions and Preparations to Migrate (Irregularly)**

	Intention to migrate (binary)	intention to migrate (7-point likert)	Preparations for a move (binary)	Intentions to migrate irregularly (binary)	intentions to migrate irregularly (7-point-likert)
<i>Unadjusted</i>					
	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se	b/se
MaM Treatment Effect	-0.045	-0.233*	-0.070*	-0.069*	-0.185
	0.033	0.107	0.034	0.03	0.098
Constant	0.535	4.354	0.437	0.343	1.914
	0.024	0.076	0.024	0.022	0.07
N. of observations	923	923	826	923	923
<i>Adjusted</i>					
MaM Treatment Effect	-0.049	-0.205	-0.081*	-0.080*	-0.252*
	0.035	0.111	0.036	0.032	0.103
Constant	0.354	3.775	0.229	0.331	1.959
	0.136	0.433	0.139	0.124	0.402
N. of observations	820	820	738	820	820

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001

Note: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnic group, family status, household size, number of kids, number of siblings, contacts with people abroad, previous migration experience, educational attainment, ability to cover monthly expenses, receiving remittances, baseline values of the outcome variable if available as well as district. See Table A9 for more details on control variables. See Table A9 for operationalization of dependent variables.

**Table A22: Interaction Effects – Intentions and Preparations to Migrate (Irregularly)**

	Intention to migrate (binary)	Intention to migrate irregularly (binary)	Preparations for a move (binary)
<b>Age</b>			
Treatment effect - younger people (<24)	b/se	b/se	b/se
	-0.014	-0.140**	-0.064
Base difference - older people (>23)	0.052	0.047	0.053
	0.04	-0.011	0.182***
Interaction effect - treatment * older people (>23)	0.051	0.046	0.052
	-0.072	0.11	-0.066
Constant	0.071	0.064	0.072
	0.511***	0.342***	0.355***
N	0.037	0.034	0.037
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	804	804	720
	0.074	0.492	0.009
<b>Gender</b>			
Treatment effect - male	-0.051	-0.059	-0.085*
	0.035	0.032	0.036
Base difference - female	-0.219**	-0.136*	-0.209**
	0.07	0.064	0.073
Interaction effect - Treatment * female	0.027	-0.109	0.099
	0.1	0.093	0.108
Constant	0.563***	0.360***	0.463***
	0.025	0.023	0.026
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.798	0.054	0.896
<b>Marriage status</b>			

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Treatment effect - not married	-0.049	-0.093**	-0.057
	0.036	0.033	0.037
Base difference - married or in relationship	-0.09	-0.048	0.102
	0.063	0.058	0.067
Interaction effect - Treatment * married	0.032	0.131	-0.083
	0.086	0.079	0.092
Constant	0.551***	0.351***	0.422***
	0.026	0.024	0.026
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.825	0.596	0.096
<b>Household Size</b>			
Treatment effect - smaller households (<9)	-0.059	-0.041	-0.023
	0.045	0.041	0.046
Base difference - larger households (>8)	-0.054	0.017	0.054
	0.047	0.043	0.049
Interaction effect - Treatment * larger households (>8)	0.028	-0.061	-0.1
	0.066	0.061	0.068
Constant	0.561***	0.335***	0.412***
	0.032	0.03	0.033
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.528	0.023	0.014
<b>Education</b>			
Treatment effect - less than secondary education	-0.055	-0.073*	-0.068
	0.039	0.036	0.041
Base difference - education: secondary or more	0.024	-0.116*	0.001
	0.051	0.047	0.053
Interaction - Treatment * education: secondary or more	0.032	0.015	-0.01
	0.072	0.066	0.075
Constant	0.528***	0.377***	0.437***

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.028	0.026	0.029
N	922	922	825
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.701	0.295	0.212
<b>HH has enough money to cover expenses</b>			
Treatment effect - HH does not enough money at end of month	-0.036	-0.084*	-0.038
	0.04	0.036	0.041
Base difference - enough HH money at the end of the month	0.013	-0.095*	0.03
	0.05	0.046	0.052
Interaction - Treatment *enough HH money	-0.03	0.041	-0.102
	0.071	0.065	0.074
Constant	0.531***	0.374***	0.428***
	0.029	0.026	0.029
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.267	0.427	0.022
<b>Employment status</b>			
Treatment effect - working	-0.053	-0.047	-0.094*
	0.042	0.039	0.044
Base difference - unemployed	-0.014	-0.028	-0.131**
	0.048	0.044	0.049
Interaction - Treatment * unemployed	0.02	-0.064	0.049
	0.068	0.062	0.07
Constant	0.541***	0.354***	0.492***
	0.031	0.028	0.032
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.534	0.023	0.409
<b>Number of people known that live abroad</b>			
Treatment effect - fewer people known abroad (<6)	-0.049	-0.101*	-0.091
	0.046	0.043	0.047
Base difference - more people known abroad (>5)	0.121*	0.005	0.185***

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	0.05	0.046	0.05
Interaction - Treatment * more people known abroad (>5)	0.005 0.07	0.073 0.065	0.042 0.071
Constant	0.491*** 0.033	0.343*** 0.03	0.351*** 0.034
N	824	824	741
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.409	0.568	0.356
<b>Receiving remittances</b>			
Treatment effect - not receiving remittances	-0.079* 0.04	-0.094* 0.037	-0.104* 0.041
Base difference - receiving remittances	0.033 0.051	-0.056 0.048	0.092 0.052
Interaction - Treatment * receiving remittances	0.098 0.071	0.071 0.066	0.104 0.073
Constant	0.527*** 0.028	0.362*** 0.026	0.408*** 0.029
N	918	918	824
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.745	0.673	1
<b>Intentions to migrate at baseline</b>			
Treatment effect - lower intentions to migrate	-0.008 0.046	-0.055 0.043	0.016 0.049
Base difference - higher intentions to migrate	0.134** 0.047	0.017 0.043	0.118* 0.048
Interaction - Treatment * higher intentions to migrate	-0.077 0.066	-0.028 0.061	-0.167* 0.068
Constant	0.470*** 0.033	0.335*** 0.03	0.377*** 0.035
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.07	0.054	0.001

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

<b>Feeling informed about migration at baseline</b>			
Treatment effect - feeling less informed about migration	-0.083	-0.111*	-0.017
	0.059	0.054	0.061
Base difference - feeling better informed	0.011	-0.078	0.029
	0.05	0.046	0.052
Interaction - Treatment * feeling better informed	0.055	0.062	-0.076
	0.071	0.065	0.074
Constant	0.528***	0.396***	0.417***
	0.042	0.038	0.043
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.477	0.18	0.023
<b>Ethnic group</b>			
Treatment effect - non-Wolof	-0.068	-0.084*	-0.072
	0.04	0.037	0.042
Base difference - non-Wolof	-0.048	-0.028	-0.049
	0.05	0.046	0.051
Interaction - Treatment * Wolof	0.067	0.046	0.01
	0.07	0.064	0.072
Constant	0.551***	0.352***	0.454***
	0.029	0.027	0.03
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.997	0.458	0.285
<b>Number of children</b>			
Treatment effect - no children	-0.046	-0.070*	-0.053
	0.036	0.034	0.037
Base difference - one or more children	-0.089	0.035	0.075
	0.062	0.057	0.065
Interaction - Treatment * one or more children	0.011	0.001	-0.101
	0.086	0.079	0.09

Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

Constant	0.551***	0.337***	0.425***
	0.026	0.024	0.027
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.655	0.339	0.062
<b>Number of siblings</b>			
Treatment effect - fewer siblings (<6)	-0.009	-0.064	-0.087
	0.044	0.041	0.046
Base difference - more siblings (>5)	0.05	0.051	0.048
	0.047	0.043	0.049
Interaction - Treatment * more siblings (>5)	-0.082	-0.007	0.047
	0.066	0.061	0.069
Constant	0.512***	0.320***	0.415***
	0.032	0.029	0.033
N	923	923	826
Test: $\beta_1 + \beta_3 \neq 0$	0.069	0.123	0.432
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001			

Table A23: Sensitivity of main results to clustering

Section	Outcome Variable (Binary)	Specification	OLS Coefficient	SE	Constant	SE Constant	N
Subjective information level	How to Migrate to Europe	Unadjusted	0.089**	0.032	0.567***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.089*	0.034	0.567***	0.031	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.086*	0.035	0.570***	0.028	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.086**	0.032	0.511***	0.043	924
	Feeling informed about risks and opportunities of migration	Unadjusted	0.118***	0.03	0.634***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.118**	0.033	0.634***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.115**	0.033	0.637***	0.025	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.116***	0.03	0.650***	0.041	924
Knowledge	Knowledge about Asylum	Unadjusted	0.011	0.029	0.265***	0.021	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.011	0.021	0.265***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.011	0.025	0.263***	0.016	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.007	0.029	0.192***	0.04	924
	Costs of the journey	Unadjusted	206.107	206	2058***	147.672	769
		Clustered SE on district level	206.107	191	2058**	176.775	769
		Clustered SE on screening level	204.674	212	2064***	158.339	765
		District Fixed Effects	171.269	206	1537***	280.428	769
	Estimated Migrant Deaths	Unadjusted	-0.017	0.03	0.715***	0.021	924
		Clustered SE on district level	-0.017	0.032	0.715***	0.032	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	-0.016	0.029	0.715***	0.024	917
		District Fixed Effects	-0.012	0.03	0.726***	0.041	924
	expected earnings	Unadjusted	122.763	146	1257***	104.961	917
		Clustered SE on district level	122.763	70	1257***	106.544	917
		Clustered SE on screening level	133.113	145	1248***	108.885	910
		District Fixed Effects	113.218	146	1431***	199.822	917
	Likelihood to obtain legal visa	Unadjusted	0.067	0.074	3.355***	0.053	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.067	0.081	3.355***	0.057	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.067	0.07	3.354***	0.043	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.059	0.074	3.279***	0.101	924

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

		Unadjusted	-2.359	1.621	20.722***	1.157	697
Length of journey by sea		Clustered SE on district level	-2.359	1.964	20.722***	1.622	697
		Clustered SE on screening level	-2.388	1.664	20.794***	1.393	692
		District Fixed Effects	-2.648	1.629	22.281***	2.205	697
		Unadjusted	-0.824	3.47	32.704***	2.472	707
Length of journey by land		Clustered SE on district level	-0.824	3.9	32.704***	3.019	707
		Clustered SE on screening level	-0.423	3.761	32.314***	3.134	701
		District Fixed Effects	-1.359	3.489	37.903***	4.641	707
		Unadjusted	-0.001	0.028	0.249***	0.02	924
Eligibility for Asylum		Clustered SE on district level	-0.001	0.034	0.249***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.001	0.032	0.249***	0.022	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.002	0.029	0.286***	0.039	924
		Unadjusted	0.104**	0.032	0.329***	0.023	924
Risk Perceptions	Beatings	Clustered SE on district level	0.104*	0.032	0.329***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.104**	0.037	0.330***	0.033	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.101**	0.032	0.301***	0.043	924
		Unadjusted	0.063	0.032	0.358***	0.023	924
	Deportation	Clustered SE on district level	0.063*	0.025	0.358***	0.024	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.065	0.037	0.359***	0.031	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.061	0.032	0.285***	0.044	924
		Unadjusted	0.080**	0.029	0.687***	0.021	924
	Risk to life	Clustered SE on district level	0.08	0.042	0.687***	0.025	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.079*	0.03	0.688***	0.022	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.078**	0.029	0.675***	0.04	924
		Unadjusted	0.089**	0.033	0.510***	0.023	924
	Risk of violence	Clustered SE on district level	0.089	0.039	0.510***	0.037	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.090*	0.038	0.510***	0.031	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.088**	0.033	0.510***	0.044	924
		Unadjusted	0.098**	0.032	0.313***	0.023	924
Forced labor		Clustered SE on district level	0.098**	0.025	0.313***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.101*	0.039	0.312***	0.032	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.097**	0.032	0.289***	0.043	924

## Technical Annex – Impact Evaluation Report

	Lack of food	Unadjusted	0.048	0.033	0.413***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.048	0.026	0.413***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.052	0.036	0.410***	0.034	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.047	0.033	0.404***	0.044	924
	Losing all money	Unadjusted	0.106**	0.032	0.347***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.106***	0.02	0.347***	0.019	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.110**	0.035	0.345***	0.028	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.106***	0.032	0.298***	0.043	924
	Imprisonment	Unadjusted	0.112***	0.031	0.285***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.112***	0.016	0.285***	0.025	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.115**	0.036	0.283***	0.031	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.114***	0.031	0.281***	0.042	924
	Witnessing death	Unadjusted	0.132***	0.032	0.380***	0.023	924
		Clustered SE on district level	0.132**	0.027	0.380***	0.028	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	0.136**	0.042	0.376***	0.039	917
		District Fixed Effects	0.134***	0.032	0.355***	0.044	924
Migration intentions	Intention to migrate irregularly	Unadjusted	-0.068*	0.03	0.342***	0.022	924
		Clustered SE on district level	-0.068*	0.026	0.342***	0.03	924
		Clustered SE on screening level	-0.067*	0.032	0.343***	0.026	917
		District Fixed Effects	-0.065*	0.03	0.388***	0.041	924
	Preparations for a move	Unadjusted	-0.069*	0.034	0.436***	0.024	827
		Clustered SE on district level	-0.069	0.036	0.436***	0.031	827
		Clustered SE on screening level	-0.064	0.039	0.432***	0.033	821
		District Fixed Effects	-0.071*	0.034	0.449***	0.046	827

## Table A24: Sources for Comparison of Potential Earnings at Destination

### Mean Estimated Monthly Income by Respondents (in USD)

UNECE 2019: [https://w3.unece.org/PXWeb2015/pxweb/en/STAT/STAT\\_20-ME\\_3-MELF/60\\_en\\_MECCWagesY\\_r.px/table/tableViewLayout1/?rxiid=5f20e88c-941a-4c1f-b071-99c9c8981470](https://w3.unece.org/PXWeb2015/pxweb/en/STAT/STAT_20-ME_3-MELF/60_en_MECCWagesY_r.px/table/tableViewLayout1/?rxiid=5f20e88c-941a-4c1f-b071-99c9c8981470)

### Average Monthly Income at Minimum Wage (in USD)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_minimum\\_wages\\_by\\_country](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_minimum_wages_by_country)

### Per Capita Monthly Financial Support for Asylum Seekers (in USD)

<https://www.dw.com/en/asylum-benefits-in-the-eu-how-member-states-compare/a-44298599>  
<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-europe-migrants-benefits-factbox-idUSKCN0RGIMJ20150916>  
<https://www.dshs.wa.gov/esa/community-services-offices/refugee-cash-assistance>  
<https://www.sccgov.org/sites/ssa/debs/calworks/Pages/refugees.aspx>  
<http://www.usccb.org/about/resettlement-services/upload/Refugee-Assistance-2.pdf>  
<http://fairus.org/issue/legal-immigration/fiscal-cost-resettling-refugees-united-states>  
<https://www.dshs.wa.gov/esa/community-services-offices/refugee-cash-assistance>  
<https://www.infomigrants.net/en/post/5049/how-much-money-do-refugees-in-germany-get>  
<https://www.acf.hhs.gov/orr/programs/cma/about>

## Table A25: Volunteer Field Officer – Interview Equipment

To record testimonials of migrant returnees, volunteer field officers work in pairs are equipped with smartphone kit which includes:

- 1 smartphone
- 1 tripod
- 1 microphone
- 1 portable LED light




## Table A26: Interview questions for returnee volunteers

1. How does it feel to be back home?
2. How did your family and friends react to your return?
3. Did you decide to leave home and where were you traveling to?
4. What family members or people were you trying to support?
5. Describe who you traveled with and what happened to them
6. What was the most difficult thing you experienced during your journey?
7. Describe the conditions you faced in detention
8. Describe the conditions you faced in the desert
9. How did the journey affect your physical and mental health?
10. Where did you get the idea to travel?
11. How much information did you have about the journey before you traveled?
12. How did social media, particularly Facebook and Whatsapp, influence your decision to travel?
13. How were you deceived or cheated on your journey?
14. What did you expect to find in the place you were traveling to?
15. How did the reality compare with your expectations?
16. What advice would you give to someone who wants to take the same route?

## Table A27: Power calculations

The figure below illustrates the power calculations that were conducted for the study at the concept note phase using 3 different potential effect sizes (0.15, 0.20, and 0.25 standard deviations respectively)<sup>3</sup>, with a significance threshold of 0.05 and a power of 0.8 (which are standard in the social sciences) for an individual-level randomized trial.

As we could not foresee the effect size a priori, it is better to err on the side of caution and to include more individuals in the trial. Identifying an effect size of 0.25 SD would require 500 participants, an effect of 0.20 800 participants, and an effect of 0.15 1,400 participants. To be on the safe side we aimed to complete the endline survey with as many respondents as possible.



<sup>3</sup> The figure was created using the OptimalDesign (OD) software: <http://www.hlmssoft.net/od/>